

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,894	04/15/2004	Hua-Jun Zeng	MS1-1890US	8978
22801 LEE & HAYE	7590 01/29/2008 S PLLC	EXAMINER		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500			LE, JESSICA N	
SPOKANE, W	A 99201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
:			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/825,894	ZENG ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica N. Le	2161				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Khanh Pham, Primary Examiner</u> . (3) <u>Bea Koempel-Thomas, Applicant's Representation</u>						
(2) <u>Jessica N. Le, Examiner</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 22 January 2008.						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>claims 1 &amp; 43</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Bowman et al. (US Patent Number 6,006,225).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

KHANH B. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, Ms. Koempel-Thomas, explained the difference between the term "multi-sense" in claim 1 of application and the term "multi-term" in the cited reference based on semantic relationship. The examiner had explained how figures 5A and 6 are mapped to this point of views. Also, examiner explained figure 7 reached the terms "high frequencies" and "low frequencies" that Applicant's representative argued. No agreement was reached. Further search and consideration are required upon receiving official response.